

## Constraints on Radiative $Z_0$ Decays

Sidney D. Drell

*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305*

and

Stephen J. Parke

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, and Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305*

(Received 17 May 1984)

We study an effective local interaction as a possible source of hard photons in the decay  $Z_0 \rightarrow \bar{l}l\gamma$ . We restrict the form of this interaction by the requirements that it be  $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$  gauge invariant,  $CP$  invariant, and chirality conserving. Including constraints derived from the agreement of the standard model in other neutral current experiments, we find that the ratio of radiative to nonradiative leptonic decay widths is given by  $0.6(M_Z/M_\Lambda)^4$  where  $M_\Lambda$  is the mass scale characterizing the "new physics." This ratio is less than the preliminary experimental indication of approximately 10% if  $M_\Lambda$  is greater than 150 GeV.

PACS numbers: 13.90.+i, 14.80.Er

Observation of hard gammas accompanying the leptons in  $Z_0$  decay has been reported.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, two observed decays  $Z_0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$  and one of  $Z_0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$  suggest a ratio of radiative to nonradiative decay widths that is an order of magnitude larger than anticipated from normal radiative corrections to the lepton current.<sup>2</sup> The observed  $\gamma$  is harder than anticipated on the basis of QED radiative corrections to the decay of a pointlike elementary  $Z_0$  vector boson. At the same time, no comparable  $\gamma$  emission has been detected in charged heavy-boson decay,  $W^\pm \rightarrow l^\pm\nu\gamma$ .<sup>3</sup>

These observations are preliminary and further data and analysis will be required before any conclusion can be made with confidence about the significance of these events.<sup>4</sup> Nevertheless, they raise an interesting question that we address here: What limits do already established properties of the neutral current interaction put on possible corrections to the standard model<sup>5</sup> leading to processes  $Z_0 \rightarrow \bar{l}l\gamma$ ? Are the observed radiative decays consistent with these limits?

We approach this question by positing the existence of a local interaction between the lepton current and the  $Z_0$  and  $\gamma$  field strengths and their derivatives, which is a phenomenological representation

of possible underlying structure (compositeness) of the  $Z_0$  and leptons on the mass scale greater than  $M_Z$ . Certain invariance properties are preserved in writing the interaction: (1)  $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$  gauge invariance. The lepton current is assumed to be an isosinglet due to the absence of  $W^\pm \rightarrow l^\pm\nu\gamma$  events. (2)  $CP$  invariance. (3) Chiral invariance in order to preserve present notions of the "lightness" of lepton masses. We then find that within this set of assumptions the added interaction is severely limited by the established agreement of the standard model with observations of the interference between the neutral weak and the electromagnetic interaction in Bhabha scattering, atomic physics transitions, inelastic scattering of polarized electrons from deuterium, neutrino-electron, and neutrino-nucleon inelastic scattering. The constraints from these experiments imply that if this interaction is to explain the observed rate of radiative  $Z_0$  decays to leptons then the mass scale characterizing the "new physics" cannot be larger than 150 GeV. This result indicates that the interaction must be nonlocal on the scale of the  $Z_0$  mass, and contradicts our original assumption.

The effective interaction we study is given by a dimension-eight operator of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{M_\Lambda^4} \{ C_L (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L + \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L) + C_R \bar{l}_R \gamma^\mu l_R \} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} (\partial^\nu B^{\sigma\lambda}) B_\lambda^\tau, \quad (1)$$

where  $B^{\sigma\lambda}$  is the  $U(1)$  hypercharge field strength,<sup>6</sup>  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau}$  is the Levi-Civita tensor,  $M_\Lambda > M_Z$  is a mass defining the range, or mass scale, of  $Z_0$  substructure and hence of "new physics."  $C_{L,R}$  are dimensionless coupling constants. The Levi-Civita tensor is required in the interaction so that the total interaction term in the Lagrangian is  $CP$  even.

No lower-dimensional operator can be written consistent with our stated assumptions. Again, we em-

phasize that the purpose of this analysis is simply to establish limits on (1) and the rate of the decay  $Z_0 \rightarrow \bar{l}l\gamma$  as derived from neutral current experiments. Results will differ for specific models relying on lighter particles with  $M_\Lambda \leq M_Z$  leading to nonlocal contributions via intermediate closed loops. Since (1) represents an effective Lagrangian we analyze only its first-order contributions. In second order it can give rise to four-fermion contributions too but these would have to be combined with direct four-fermion terms arising from the underlying dynamics. We do not model, and therefore do not retain, such higher-order effects. In

lowest order (1) gives rise to no self-mass or  $g-2$  corrections for the leptons because it is chirality conserving.

This phenomenological interaction allows us to predict the rate for  $Z_0 \rightarrow \bar{l}l\gamma$  and the interaction of a lepton with charged quarks and leptons via the process of Fig. 1. The interaction of the  $Z_0$  and  $\gamma$  with the quarks and leptons is given by the standard model.<sup>7</sup>

The loop integral in Fig. 1 depends quadratically on the cutoff which we take as  $M_\Lambda > M_Z$ . This leads to the effective local four-fermion interaction to leading order in  $(M_Z/M_\Lambda)^2 \ll 1$ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{M_\Lambda^2} \frac{3e^2}{16\pi^2} \{C_L (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L + \bar{\nu}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L) + C_R \bar{l}_R \gamma^\mu l_R\} \left\{ \left[ (1-s^2)q^2 + \frac{1}{2}qa + \frac{a^2+b^2}{16(1-s^2)} \right] \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi + \left[ \frac{1}{2}qb + \frac{ab}{8(1-s^2)} \right] \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $qe$  is the electric charge of the "target,"  $\psi$ ,  $s^2 \equiv \sin^2 \theta_W \approx 0.22$ , and  $a$  and  $b$  are respectively the vector and axial couplings of the target to the  $Z_0$ . Values appropriate to the different experiments are listed in Table I. For the atomic physics experiment one takes a coherent sum over the quark constituents of the nucleon as well as over the nucleons themselves. For the inelastic-scattering experiments the sum over nucleons is incoherent.

Such an effective Lagrangian is constrained by the measurements that support the standard model.<sup>8</sup> The tightest constraints come from neutrino scattering giving a limit to  $C_L - 0.15C_R$ ,

$$|C_L - 0.15C_R| < 0.19f$$

where  $f \equiv (8\pi^2/3e^2)2^{1/2}(M_\Lambda^2 G_F)$  ( $G_F$  is the Fermi constant), and from the atomic parity-nonconservation experiments giving a limit on

$$C_R - C_L,$$

$$|C_R - C_L| \leq 0.32f.$$

We combine these to give an overall limit of

$$(C_R^2 + C_L^2)^{1/2} \leq (0.66)f. \quad (3)$$

Table II summarizes the restrictions derived from each of the individual interference experiments.

Constraints can also be obtained for an interaction similar to (1) but with the leptons replaced by quarks. The atomic parity-nonconservation experiments and the polarized electron experiment performed at SLAC will both give limits.<sup>9</sup> These limits will be less restrictive because to have the electrons coupled axially in the effective four-fermion interaction they must couple vectorially to the  $Z_0$  which introduces a small factor  $(1-4\sin^2 \theta_W) \approx 0.12$ .

The interaction (1) also allows the  $Z_0$  to decay into  $\bar{l}l\gamma$ <sup>10</sup> with a rate compared to  $\bar{l}l$  pairs of

$$\Gamma_{\bar{l}l\gamma}/\Gamma_{\bar{l}l} = \frac{(2)^{1/2}s^2(1-s^2)(M_Z^2 G_F)^{-1}}{320\pi^2} (C_R^2 + C_L^2) \left( \frac{M_Z}{M_\Lambda} \right)^8 \approx 8.7 \times 10^{-4} (C_R^2 + C_L^2) \left( \frac{M_Z}{M_\Lambda} \right)^8. \quad (4)$$

By use of the constraint (3) we obtain the limit

$$\Gamma_{\bar{l}l\gamma}/\Gamma_{\bar{l}l} \leq 0.62 (M_Z/M_\Lambda)^4. \quad (5)$$




FIG. 1. Graphs for lepton scattering from quarks or leptons via the process in Eq. (1).

TABLE I. Couplings for the effective four-fermion interaction.

$\psi$	$q$	$a$	$b$
$\nu$	0	1	-1
$e, \mu$	-1	$-(1-4s^2)$	1
$u$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$(1-\frac{8}{3}s^2)$	-1
$d$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-(1-\frac{4}{3}s^2)$	1

TABLE II. Summary of constraints by experiment.

Experiment	Constraint
$\nu$ - $e$ scattering	$ C_L - 0.15C_R  < 0.19f$
$\nu$ - $q$ scattering	$ C_L  < 0.43f$
Parity violation in atoms	$ C_R - C_L  < 0.32f$
Polarized electron scattering	$ C_R - C_L  < 0.67f$ $ C_R + C_L  < 1.40f$
Bhabha	$ C_R - C_L  < 1.7f$
Combined	$(C_R^2 + C_L^2)^{1/2} < 0.66f$

If we require this ratio to be no less than 10% as suggested by the data, then  $M_\Lambda$  is less than 150 GeV!<sup>11</sup> The bounds in Eichten, Lane, and Peskin<sup>8</sup> were quoted in terms of a larger scale  $M_\Lambda \approx 1$  TeV with the assumption that a strong force binds the constituents of the leptons. However, only the single parameter  $C/M_\Lambda^2$  has been determined by the interference measurements. Thus, the independent limit of (5) with  $M_\Lambda \approx 150$  GeV corresponds to an assumption of intermediate coupling,  $g^2/4\pi \approx 1/50$ , according to the conventions of Ref. 8.

Three further comments are in order:

1. The limit on  $M_\Lambda < 150$  GeV is so low that it strongly suggests that the experimental results, if verified, are incompatible with a local interaction of form (1) as required by only theoretical assumptions. Form factors resulting from a nonlocal interaction will suppress the amplitudes in the calculation of Fig. (1) leading to the effective interaction (2). Such nonlocality could be an expression of contributions from new particles of mass  $\approx M_Z$  as noted by a number of authors. Our results show that one *needs* such a low scale of new physics if  $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$  gauge,  $CP$ , and chiral invariance are to be maintained.

2. The absence of decays  $Z_0 \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$  indicates  $|C_L| < \frac{1}{3}|C_R|$  corresponding to three types of neutrinos and less than one observed decay. This additional restriction leads to a still smaller value for  $M_\Lambda < 130$  GeV.

3. There still remains a problem in the angular correlation reported in Ref. 1. The local interaction (1) does not explain the tight correlation of the photon with one of the electrons suggested by the data.

If these hard  $\gamma$ 's prove to be inconsistent with ordinary bremsstrahlung it is apparent that their interpretation will be very interesting.

We thank Michael Peskin and Gary Feinberg for very valuable discussions and Duane Dicus for pointing out a numerical error in our earlier draft. This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF-00515. Fermilab is operated by the United Research Association Inc. under contract with the U. S. Department of Energy.

<sup>1</sup>G. Arnison *et al.* (UA1 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **126B**, 398 (1983); P. Bagnaia *et al.* (UA2 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **129B**, 130 (1983).

<sup>2</sup>F. Berends *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B202**, 63 (1982); G. Passarino, Phys. Lett. **130B**, 115 (1983); G. Pancheri and Y. Srivastava, Phys. Lett. **137B**, 117 (1984); M. Caffo, R. Gatto, and E. Remiddi, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 439 (1984).

<sup>3</sup>G. Arnison *et al.* (UA1 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. **135B**, 250 (1984).

<sup>4</sup>R. D. Peccei, Phys. Lett. **136B**, 121 (1984); N. Cabibbo, L. Maiani, and Y. Srivastava, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 359 (1984); M. J. Duncan and M. Veltman, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 310 (1984); L. Bergstrom, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 102 (1984); F. M. Renard, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 449 (1984); M. Leurer, H. Harari, and R. Barbieri, Phys. Lett. **141B**, 455 (1984).

<sup>5</sup>S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 1264 (1967); A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity* (Nobel Symposium No. 8), edited by N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksells, Stockholm, 1968); S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. **22**, 579 (1961).

<sup>6</sup>In terms of  $Z_\mu$  and  $A_\mu$ , the  $Z_0$  and  $\gamma$  gauge fields, respectively,  $B_\mu$  is given by the linear combination  $B_\mu = \cos\theta_W A_\mu - \sin\theta_W Z_\mu$ , where  $\theta_W$  is the Weinberg angle.

<sup>7</sup>Treating (1) as an effective interaction, we do not allow either the  $Z_0$  or  $\gamma$  to be absorbed by the same lepton line that emitted it. Nor do we allow the two-fermion or two-boson lines to join together.

<sup>8</sup>E. Eichten, K. D. Lane, and M. E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 811 (1983); M. Althoff *et al.* (Tasso Collaboration), Z. Phys. **C22**, 13 (1984); E. D. Commins, *Weak Interactions of Leptons and Quarks* (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1983), Table 12.1, p. 423, and references therein; C. Bouchiat and C. A. Piketty, Phys. Lett. **128B**, 73 (1983); P. S. Drell and E. D. Commins, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. 17692.

<sup>9</sup>These experiments will give similar constraints if the hard gamma-lepton pair events come from the decay of a particle other than the  $Z_0$ . The observation of such events in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions implies that this particle couples to quarks and/or gluons.

<sup>10</sup>With use of the above constraints the cross section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z_0 + \gamma$  is only femtobarns at SLC/LEP energies.

<sup>11</sup>The limit on  $M_\Lambda$  is still smaller, by  $2^{1/4}$ , if we choose 20% for the experimental ratio, corresponding to three events with hard gammas out of a total of fifteen.